2 Jeannie Cervera Assistant Regional Counsel 3 United States Environmental Protection Agency 75 Hawthorne Street 4 San Francisco, California 94105 5 Attorney for EPA 6 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION IX** 8 IN THE MATTER OF: 9 10 Del Amo Plant Site 11 Shell_Oil Company The Dow Chemical Company 12 **RESPONDENTS** 13 14 Proceeding Under Sections 104, 122(a) and U.S. EPA Docket No. 92-13 15 122(d)(3) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. §§ 9604, 9622(a), 9622(d)(3) as amended by the Superfund Amendments and 16 Reauthorization Act of 1986. 17 18 19 ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER ON CONSENT FOR REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY 20 AND FOCUSED FEASIBILITY STUDY 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	I.	Introduction	1
3	П.	Jurisdiction	2
4	m.	Parties Bound	2
5	IV.	Statement of Purpose	2
6	V.	Findings of Fact	3
7	VI.	Conclusions of Law	9
8	VII.	Determinations	9
9	VIII.	Lead Agency Notice	9
10	IX.	Work to Be Performed	9
11	X.	EPA'S Baseline Risk Assessment	12
12	XI.	Modification of the Work Plan	13
13	XII.	Quality Assurance	15
14 15	XIII.	Final RI/FS, Focused FS, Proposed Plan, Public Comment, Record of Decision, Administrative Record	15
16	XIV.	Progress Reports and Meetings	16
17	XV.	Site Access	16
18	XVI.	Sampling, Access, and Data/Document Availability	17
19	XVII.	Designated Project Coordinators	19
20	XVIII.	Other Applicable Laws	21
21	XIX.	Record Preservation	21
22	XX.	Dispute Resolution	22
23	XXI.	Stipulated Penalties	24
24	XXII.	Force Majeure	27
25	XXIII.	Reimbursement of Past Costs	28
26	XXIV.	Reimbursement of Response and Oversight Costs	30
27	XXV.	Reservations of Rights and Reimbursement of Other Costs	33
28	XXVI	Other Claims	34

1	XXVII.	Indemnification	35
2	XXVIII.	Effective Date and Subsequent Modification	35
3	XXIX.	Contribution	35
4	XXX.	Termination and Satisfaction	36
5	XXXI.	Disclaimer	36
6	XXXII.	Counterparts	37
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

I. INTRODUCTION

A. This Administrative Order on Consent (Consent Order) is entered into voluntarily by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC"), and the Respondents (Shell Oil Company and the Dow Chemical Company). The Consent Order concerns the preparation of, performance of, and reimbursement for costs incurred by EPA and DTSC in connection with a remedial investigation and feasibility study (RI/FS) for the Del Amo Facility Site located in Los Angeles, California, as well as reimbursement of past response costs.

II. JURISDICTION

A. This Consent Order is issued under the authority vested in the President of the United States by Sections 104, 122(a) and 122(d)(3) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604, 9622(a), 9622(d)(3) (CERCLA). This authority was delegated to the Administrator of EPA on January 23, 1987, by Executive Order 12580, 52 Fed. Reg. 2923 (1987), and further delegated to Regional Administrators on September 13, 1987, by EPA Delegation Nos. 14-8-A and 14-14-C. This authority has been redelegated by the Regional Administrator to the Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9. DTSC is the successor in interest to the Toxic Substances Control Program of the California Department of Health Services. DTSC has jurisdiction over the matters set forth herein pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25300 et seq. and § 121(f) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9621(f).

B. The Respondents agree to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Consent Order. In any action by EPA or the United States to enforce the terms of this Consent Order, Respondents consent to and agree not to contest the authority or jurisdiction of the Regional Administrator to issue or enforce this Consent Order, and agree not to contest the validity of this Consent Order.

III. PARTIES BOUND

A. This Consent Order shall apply to and be binding upon EPA, DTSC the Respondents, their successors, and assigns. Respondents are jointly and severally responsible for carrying out all actions required by this Consent Order. The signatories to this Consent Order certify that they are authorized to execute and legally bind the parties they represent to this Consent Order. No change in the ownership or corporate status of the Respondents or of the facility or site shall alter Respondents' responsibilities under this Consent Order.

B. The Respondents shall provide a copy of this Consent Order to any subsequent owners or successors before ownership rights or stock or assets in a corporate acquisition are transferred. Respondents shall provide a copy of this Consent Order to all contractors and subcontractors ("contractors") that are retained to conduct any work performed under this Consent Order, within 14 days after the effective date of this Consent Order or the date of retaining their services, whichever is later. Respondents shall require that any work being performed by any contractors or subcontractors pursuant to this Consent Order be performed in a manner consistent with the terms of the Consent Order.

IV. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

In entering into this Consent Order, the mutual objectives of EPA, DTSC, and Respondents are:

A. To conduct the Remedial Investigation ("RI"), for the 300-acre Del Amo Site ("Plant Site"), as described in the Statement of Work ("SOW"), a copy of which is attached as Attachment A and by this reference made a part of this Consent Order, in order to determine the nature and extent of contamination and the potential for harm to the public health or welfare or the environment caused by the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at or from the Del Amo Plant Site, as defined in Section V below. The SOW specifies work to be performed during the RI. It

3 4 5

6 7

9

10

8

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

24

23

25 26

27

28

also includes a list of reports, documents, and other deliverables that the Respondents will provide for EPA review, comment and/or approval.

- To conduct the Feasibility Study ("FS") for the Del Amo Plant Site, as B. described in the SOW, which evaluates remedial action alternatives to prevent and eliminate the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at or from the Del Amo Plant Site.
- C. To conduct a Focused Feasibility Study for the 3.7-acre Del Amo pit site, as described in the SOW, which evaluates remedial action alternatives to prevent and eliminate the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at or from the 3.7-acre Del Amo pit site, as defined in Section V below.
- D. To undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Consent Order in accordance with the provisions of CERCLA and the National Contingency Plan ("NCP"), 40 C.F.R. Part 300 et seq., as amended. Activities conducted in compliance with this Consent Order shall be deemed in compliance with the NCP.
- E. This Consent Order does not require Respondents to implement the remedial alternative chosen by EPA, in the Record Of Decision ("ROD"), for the site.

V. FINDINGS OF FACT

- A. On July 29, 1991, EPA proposed the Del Amo Superfund Site for the National Priorities List ("NPL")(56 Fed. Reg. No. 145), as defined by CERCLA (42 U.S.C §9605). EPA policy prescribes that the "listing process is not intended to define or reflect boundaries of such facilities or releases" (56 Fed. Reg. 35843). Accordingly, the proposed listing did not include any precise geographical boundaries for the Del Amo Plant Site. The extent of the Del Amo Plant Site will be refined as more information is developed during the RI/FS and during implementation of the remedy.
- В. The Del Amo Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") area shall include, at a minimum, the approximately 278 acres which comprised a former synthetic rubber manufacturing facility which generally occupied an area between 190th Ave. on the North, Vermont Ave. on the East, Knox Street on the North, Hamilton Ave. on the East,

6 7

15 16

14

18

17

20

19

22 23

21

24

25

26

27

28

and Del Amo Blvd. on the South. The western boundary of the facility is approximately 500 feet east of Normandie Ave. (see Figure 1). This approximately 278 acre area is referred to herein as the "Plant Site". Collectively, the RI/FS area or the Plant Site shall be referred to as the "Plant Site RI/FS area". The fact that a particular location is the subject of the Plant Site RI/FS does not mean that a release or threatened release has occurred on that portion of the Plant Site.

- C. The "Del Amo Pit Site" is approximately 3.7 acres in size and is contained within Lot 36 of the Plant Site RI/FS area (Los Angeles County Assessors Map #7531-34 Northwest). It is identical to the Del Amo Site which was the subject of a Consent Order entered into between the State of California Department of Health Services, GP Holdings Inc., The Dow Chemical Company and Shell Oil Company dated March 18, 1988 and to the site which is the subject of litigation encaptioned Cadillac Fairview/California, Inc. v. The Dow Chemical Co. et al., United States District Court, Central District of California, Docket No. 83-7996.
- D. The NCP defines "on-site" as the areal extent of contamination and all suitable areas in close proximity to the contamination necessary for implementation of the response action (NCP §300.400(e)(1)). As a result, the site boundaries may change during the RI/FS process, as the areal extent of contamination is better defined.
- E. In 1942, the Defense Plant Corporation (DPC), which was created under the authority of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation (RFC), acquired title to a parcel of undeveloped land for the construction of three related plants which would comprise the synthetic rubber manufacturing facility. The RFC had been authorized to create a corporation to produce synthetic rubber for the United States by the RFC Act, as amended on June 25, 1940. The authority to own, operate, administer, and inspect the operations of the Government-owned facilities was re-authorized to the RFC in 1942 under the Second War Powers Act of 1942. The three related plants, which were constructed in 1942, consisted of a butadiene plant, a styrene plant, and a copolymer plant where butadiene and styrene were mixed to produce synthetic rubber.

- F. From 1942 to 1955, the three plants were owned by the U.S. Government and operated under Agreements to Lease by the following companies:
 - From 1942 to 1955, Shell Chemical Corporation (Shell) operated the butadiene plant;
 - From 1942 to 1955, The Dow Chemical Company (Dow) operated the styrene plant;
 - From 1942 to 1949, Goodyear Tire Company of California, and its subsidiary Goodyear Synthetic Rubber Corporation, operated a portion of the copolymer plant;
 - From 1950 to 1955, Midland Rubber Company (Midland) a subsidiary of Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Company, operated the copolymer plant.
- G. In 1954, the Federal Facilities Corporation (FFC) replaced the Reconstruction Finance Corporation in the oversight of the Government's synthetic rubber facilities. In 1955, the U.S. Government sold the synthetic rubber facility to Shell Chemical Corporation. This sale occurred under the Rubber Producing Facilities Act of 1953, through the Rubber Producing Facilities Disposal Commission. The General Services Administration (GSA) is the successor in interest to the RFC, DPC, FFC, and the Rubber Producing Facilities Disposal Commission.
- H. Shell operated the synthetic rubber manufacturing facility from 1955 through about 1969 at which time rubber manufacturing activities at the facility ceased.
- I. During the period in which the Site was used for the production of synthetic rubber (1942 through 1969), operations were conducted in a relatively consistent manner. Raw materials were received via surface transport and underground pipelines, with above and underground tanks being used for the storage of raw materials and finished products. Each plant was equipped with its own wastewater disposal system, which included the use of unlined surface impoundments. Other waste disposal impoundments included three evaporation ponds (referred to as Pits 1A-C) and six sumps (referred to as Pits 2A-F)(see Figure 1).

J. In 1972, Shell sold the entire facility to Cabot, Cabot & Forbes (CC&F), a land development company. CC&F began the dismantling of the facility and the commercial development of the property, including the sale of portions of the former facility. In March of 1976, CC&F entered into a partnership with Cadillac Fairview/California, Inc. (Cadillac Fairview) for the continued commercial development of the remainder of the property. In October of 1976, this partnership was terminated and Cadillac Fairview acquired the balance of the partnership interest in the remaining unsold property at the Site.

K. The majority of the Site has since been commercially developed and sold to various entities. In 1981, Cadillac Fairview sold Lots 12 and 13 to WRH Industries, Inc. ("WRH"). In 1983, Cadillac Fairview sold Lot 37 (including Pit IA) to WRH. WRH has conducted clean-up actions at Pit 1A, including excavation of waste material. G.P. Holdings, Inc., successor in interest to Cadillac Fairview (hereinafter Cadillac Fairview and G.P. Holdings are collectively referred to as G.P.Holdings), retains title to Lot 36.

L. In 1985, G.P. Holdings, Dow and Shell entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the State of California. Pursuant to the MOA, contractors for the State of California, Department of Health Services conducted studies to determine the nature and extent of contamination at the waste disposal pit area at the southern end of the Site. This study area consisted of Lot 36, which is approximately 3.7-acres in size (hereinafter the "Del Amo pit site"). The Del Amo pit site is located entirely within the Del Amo Plant Site.

M. On March 18, 1988, G.P. Holdings, Dow, and Shell signed an Administrative Order with the State of California (Index # 87/88-041), under which the previous studies would be incorporated into an RI/FS. The Respondents to the State Order completed a Final Remedial Investigation Report and a Draft Feasibility Study Report for the Del Amo pit site. On October 18, 1991, DTSC issued a Notice of Non Compliance to the Respondents to the State Order by which that Order was terminated. Respondents reserved the right to respond to certain matters raised in the Notice of Non Compliance.

N. The 1984 and 1985 studies conducted on the Del Amo pit site involved drilling into and adjacent to the waste pits and installing eight groundwater wells into a shallow aquifer beneath the Site. Three of the wells were located within the boundaries of the Plant Site, while the remaining wells were located off the Site. Soil and waste samples were analyzed for metals and organic chemicals.

- O. The analytical results indicated high concentrations of benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, styrene, xylene, and polynuclear aromatic (PNA) hydrocarbons in soil and waste. Based on the soil sampling results, the Respondents to the State Order estimated that approximately 20,000 cubic yards of contaminated soil and waste exist within the boundaries of the former waste pits. Samples of groundwater collected from monitoring wells showed high concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, phenols, and PNAs in wells upgradient and down-gradient from the pits. One monitoring well located down-gradient from the site, contained a significant thickness of floating hydrocarbon product.
- P. As part of an ongoing site investigation, directed by EPA at the neighboring Montrose Chemical Company Superfund Site to the west, Montrose Chemical Company of California installed additional groundwater monitoring wells on the 300-acre Del Amo Site. One of the wells contained 1.5 feet of floating benzene product. Other wells in the area showed high concentrations of benzene. The styrene plant operated by Dow received benzene through pipelines from an off-site facility located in Dominguez, California owned by Shell Chemical Company.
- Q. In 1988, EPA's Field Investigation Team (FIT) conducted an Expanded Site Investigation (ESI) for the Plant Site. Based upon previous studies, FIT determined that the groundwater pathway is the most likely pathway to pose a substantial threat to human health and the environment. Therefore, the EPA ESI study focused on obtaining data necessary to evaluate the Site, focusing primarily on the groundwater pathway.
- R. FIT installed two monitor well clusters near the site. From the data obtained from these wells, EPA has concluded that, within two miles of the site, there is hydraulic

- S. Past studies indicate subsurface migration of waste constituents into the soils beyond the waste pit boundaries and potentially into the shallow groundwater aquifer beneath the Site. Potential pathways for exposure include the release of volatile organic chemicals during soil disturbance, migration of volatile organic vapors through subsurface soils and migration of contaminants from the shallow aquifer into the deeper aquifer.
- T. There is also the potential for hazardous substances to migrate and contaminate the deeper drinking water aquifer located directly below the Site.
- U. The principal chemical substances of concern include, but are not limited to, benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, xylene, styrene, and polynuclear aromatic (PNA) hydrocarbons. Many of these compounds are volatile and/or soluble. Contamination has been detected at a depth of at least 65 feet in some areas, and the contaminants are mobile in soil.
- V. Groundwater samples indicate benzene concentrations as high as 750,000 (parts per billion) ppb in the shallow aquifer underlying the Site. Soil cores collected on-site indicate benzene concentrations as high as 66,000,000 ppb. EPA has established a Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 5 ppb of benzene in drinking water.
- W. Groundwater and soil core samples indicate ethylbenzene concentrations as high as 4,000,000 ppb and 50,000,000 ppb respectively. EPA has established an MCL of 700 ppb for ethylbenzene in drinking water.
- X. Groundwater samples indicate toluene concentrations as high as 2,600 ppb in the shallow aquifer underlying the Site. Soil cores indicate toluene concentrations as high as 940 ppm. EPA has established an MCL of 1,000 ppb for toluene in drinking water.
- Y. Soil cores collected from the site indicate xylene concentrations as high as 8,500,000 ppb.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Z. Groundwater and soil samples indicate the presence of naphthalene, phenanthrene, and acenapthalene, and methylphenanthrene, which belong to a class of chemical compounds known as polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PNAs).

VI. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The Site is a "facility" as defined in Section 101 (9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 (9).
- B. Respondents are "persons" as defined in Section 101 (21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 (21).
- C. The chemicals and their constituents at the Site are "hazardous substances" as defined in Section 101 (14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 (14).
- D. The past, present, and potential migration of hazardous substances from the Site constitutes an actual or threatened "release" as defined in Section 101 (22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 (22).
- E. Respondents are potentially responsible parties pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a).

VII. DETERMINATIONS

- A. Hazardous substances have been released into the environment at or from the Site. There is a substantial threat that hazardous substance will be released into the environment at or from the Site.
- B. The actions required by this Consent Order are necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment.

VIII. LEAD AGENCY NOTICE

As a signatory to this Consent Order, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control is notified and agrees that EPA is the lead agency for coordinating, overseeing, and enforcing the response action required by the Consent Order.

IX. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

The work to be performed under this Consent Order consists of two distinct projects that are to be performed concurrently. The first project includes the performance of an

RI/FS for the Del Amo Plant Site. The second project includes the completion of a Focused Feasibility Study for the Del Amo pit site. The specific tasks and deliverables required for these two projects are described in the attached Statement of Work.

All work performed under this Consent Order shall be under the direction and supervision of a qualified professional engineer or a certified geologist with expertise in hazardous substance site investigation. Within 30 days of the effective date of this Consent Order, and before the work outlined below begins, the Respondents shall notify EPA in writing of the names, titles, and qualifications of such engineer or geologist to be used in carrying out such work. The qualifications of the persons undertaking the work for Respondents shall be subject to EPA's review for verification that such persons meet minimum technical background and experience requirements. Such background and experience shall be deemed sufficient if the persons undertaking the work have satisfactorily performed similar work at an NPL Site within the last 12 months. This Consent Order is contingent on Respondents' demonstration to EPA's satisfaction that Respondents are qualified to perform properly and timely the actions set forth in this Consent Order.

If EPA disapproves in writing of any person(s)' technical qualifications, Respondents shall notify EPA of the identity and qualifications of the replacement(s) within 30 days of the written notice. EPA will inform Respondents of its approval or disapproval of such replacement within 15 days after receipt of such notice. If EPA subsequently disapproves of the replacement(s), EPA reserves the right to terminate this Consent Order and to conduct a complete RI/FS or Focused Feasibility Study, and to seek reimbursement for costs and penalties from Respondents. During the RI/FS and Focused Feasibility Study, Respondents shall notify EPA in writing of any changes in the designated engineer or geologist used to carry out such work, providing their names, titles, and qualifications. EPA shall have the same right to approve changes to such personnel as it has hereunder regarding the initial notification.

Respondents shall conduct activities and submit deliverables as provided by the attached Statement of Work for the development of the RI/FS and the Focused Feasibility

Study. All such work shall be conducted in accordance with CERCLA, the NCP, and EPA guidance including, but not limited to, the "Interim Final Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies under CERCLA" (OSWER Directive # 9355.3-01), "Guidance for Data Useability in Risk Assessment" (OSWER Directive #9285.7-05) and guidance referenced therein, and guidance referenced in the Statement of Work, as may be amended or modified by EPA.

The activities and deliverables identified in the Statement Of Work shall be submitted to EPA as provided. All work performed under this Consent Order shall be according to the schedule (Attachment B) in the Statement Of Work (Attachment A), and in full accordance with the standards, specifications, and other requirements of the work plan and sampling and analysis plan, as initially approved or modified by EPA, and as may be amended or modified by EPA from time to time. The Statement Of Work and all other attachments to this Order are deemed part of this Consent Order and are binding on all parties. For the purpose of this Consent Order, the periods of time shall be calculated as calendar days, excepting federal holidays. However, when the period of time prescribed or allowed is less than 11 days, intermediate Sundays and legal holidays shall be extended in the computation in accordance with Rule 6 (a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

EPA reserves the right to comment on, modify and direct changes for all deliverables. In the event that Respondents amend or revise a report, plan or other submittal upon receipt of EPA comments, if EPA subsequently disapproves of the revised submittal, or if subsequent submittals do not fully reflect EPA's directions for changes, EPA retains the right to seek stipulated or statutory penalties; perform its own studies, complete the RI/FS (or any portion of the RI/FS) under CERCLA and the NCP, and seek reimbursement from the Respondents for its costs; and/or seek any other appropriate relief. In the event that EPA fails or refuses to approve a deliverable required by this Consent Order, Respondents reserve the right to submit the modified deliverable under protest, noting, where appropriate the areas of disagreement. EPA or Respondents may begin Dispute Resolution (Section

 XX) procedures, if appropriate, after EPA's disapproval of a revised or amended deliverable.

In the event that EPA takes over some of the tasks, but not the preparation of the RI/FS, Respondents shall incorporate and integrate information supplied by EPA into the final RI/FS report, subject to Respondents' reservation of rights.

Neither failure of EPA to expressly approve or disapprove of Respondents' submissions within a specified time period(s), nor the absence of comments, shall be construed as approval by EPA. Whether or not EPA gives express approval for Respondents' deliverables, Respondents are responsible for preparing a deliverable in compliance with this Consent Order to EPA.

Respondents shall, prior to any off-site shipment of hazardous substances from the site to an out-of-state waste management facility, provide written notification to the appropriate state environmental official in the receiving state and to EPA's Designated Project Coordinator of such shipment of hazardous substances.

- (a) The notification shall be in writing, and shall include the following information, where available: (1) the name and location of the facility to which the hazardous substances are to be shipped; (2) the type and quantity of the hazardous substances to be shipped; (3) the expected schedule for the shipment of the hazardous substances; and (4) the method of transportation. Respondent(s) shall notify the receiving state of major changes in the shipment plan, such as a decision to ship the hazardous substances to another facility within the same state, or to a facility in another state.
- (b) Respondent(s) shall provide all relevant information, including information under the categories noted in paragraph 31(a) above, on the off-site shipments, as soon as practical after the award of the contract and before the hazardous substances are actually shipped.

X. EPA'S BASELINE RISK ASSESSMENT

EPA will perform the baseline risk assessment. Respondents shall support EPA in the effort by providing various information to EPA as outlined above. The major

3 4

5

2

6 7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

components of the Baseline Risk Assessment include contaminant identification, exposure assessment, toxicity assessment, and human health and ecological risk characterization.

EPA will provide, after review of the Respondents' site characterization summary, sufficient information concerning the baseline risks such that the Respondents can begin drafting the Feasibility Study report. This information will generally be in the form of two or more baseline risk assessment memoranda prepared by EPA. One memorandum will generally include a list of the chemicals of concern for human health and ecological effects and the corresponding toxicity values. Another should list the current and potential future exposure scenarios, exposure assumptions, and exposure point concentrations that EPA plans to use in the baseline risk assessment. The EPA agrees to provide Respondents with copies of these memoranda and agrees further that the Respondents may submit comments regarding these memoranda within thirty (30) days following Respondents' receipt of such documents. EPA will also provide Respondents with a copy of the Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment. The public, including the Respondents, may also comment on this memoranda. However, the Agency is obligated to respond only to significant comments that are submitted during the formal public comment period.

After considering any significant comments received, EPA will prepare a baseline risk assessment report based on the data collected by the respondents during the site characterization. EPA will release this report to the public at the same time it releases the final RI report. Both reports will be put into the administrative record for the site.

EPA will respond to all significant comments on the memoranda or the baseline risk assessment that are resubmitted during the formal comment period in the Responsiveness Summary of the Record of Decision.

This Section is not subject to Dispute Resolution (Section XX).

XI. MODIFICATION OF THE STATEMENT OF WORK

If at any time during the RI/FS and Focused FS process, Respondents identify a need for additional data to complete the objectives of the RI/FS or Focused FS process, a memorandum documenting the need for additional data shall be submitted to the EPA 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

Project Coordinator within 20 days of identification. EPA in its discretion will determine whether the additional data will be collected by Respondents and whether it will be incorporated into reports and deliverables. Respondents shall have the right to gather any additional data not specified or required under this Consent Order, except as limited by Section 122(e)(6) of CERCLA. Interpretations regarding the meaning of Section 122(e)(6) of CERCLA shall not be subject to dispute resolution.

Respondents may request an extension of time to complete any deliverable by filing with EPA a written request for an extension of time. Any request for an extension provided for in this paragraph shall be submitted to EPA within two (2) working days of the event which may justify a need for an extension of time. A request for an extension of time shall be in writing and indicate the new date on which Respondents will submit the deliverable to the EPA. A request for an extension must be a force majeure event as defined in this Consent Order. EPA's approval or disapproval of a request for an extension of time is subject to the Dispute Resolution provision if requested by either party.

In the event of conditions posing an immediate threat to human health or welfare or the environment, Respondents shall notify EPA immediately upon discovery. In the event of unanticipated circumstances at the site, Respondents shall notify the EPA Project Coordinator by telephone within 48 hours of discovery of the unanticipated circumstances. In addition to the authorities in the NCP, in the event that EPA determines that the immediate threat or unanticipated circumstances warrant changes in the work plan, EPA shall modify or amend the work plan in writing accordingly. Respondent(s) shall perform the work plan as modified or amended.

EPA may determine that in addition to tasks defined in the initially approved work plan, other additional work may be necessary to accomplish the objectives of the RI/FS and Focused FS as set forth in the Statement of Work. Respondents agree to perform these tasks in addition to those required by the initially approved work plans (identified in the Statement Of Work), including any approved modifications, if EPA determines that such actions are necessary for a complete RI/FS. The additional work shall be completed

4 5 6

8

9

7

11 12

10

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

22 23

21

24

25 26

27

28

according to the standards, specifications, and schedule set forth or approved by EPA in a written modification to the work plans or written work plan supplements.

EPA reserves the right to conduct the work itself at any point, to seek reimbursement from Respondents, and/or to seek any other appropriate relief. Respondents reserve all rights including the right to seek dispute resolution on the need for and scope of any additional tasks; or seek any other appropriate relief as provided or reserved u nder this Consent Order.

XII. **QUALITY ASSURANCE**

Respondents shall assure that work performed, samples taken and analyses conducted conform to the requirements of the Statement of Work, the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and guidance identified therein. Respondents will assure that field personnel used by Respondents are properly trained in the use of field equipment and in chain of custody procedures.

XIII. FINAL RI/FS, FOCUSED FS, PROPOSED PLAN, PUBLIC COMMENT, RECORD OF DECISION, ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

EPA retains the responsibility for the release to the public of the RI/FS report and Focused FS report. EPA retains responsibility for the preparation and release to the public of the proposed plan and record of decision in accordance with CERCLA and the NCP.

Pursuant to Section 113(k) of CERCLA, EPA will establish the contents of the administrative record file for selection of the remedial action. Respondents must submit to EPA documents developed during the course of the RI/FS upon which selection of the response action may be based. Respondents shall provide copies of plans, task memoranda including documentation of field modifications, recommendations for further action, quality assurance memoranda and audits, raw data, field notes, laboratory analytical reports, and other relevant documents. Respondents must additionally submit any previous studies conducted under state, local or other federal authorities relating to selection of the response action, and all communications between Respondents and state, local or other federal authorities concerning selection of the response action. At EPA's discretion, Respondents

shall establish a community information repository at or near the Site, to house one copy of the administrative record.

XIV. PROGRESS REPORTS AND MEETINGS

Respondents or their designated representatives will participate in meetings at the request of EPA during the initiation, conduct, and completion of the RI/FS and Focused FS. In addition to discussion of the technical aspects of the RI/FS, topics will include anticipated problems or new issues. Meetings will be scheduled at EPA's discretion, with reasonable notice to DTSC and Respondents. Meetings may be held via tele-conference as appropriate.

In addition to the deliverables set forth in this Consent Order, Respondents shall provide to EPA quarterly progress reports by the 10th day of the first month of the calander quarter.

XV. SITE ACCESS

A. To the extent that, as of the date of the effective date of this Consent Order, Respondents require access to land other than land they own, Respondents shall use their best efforts to obtain access agreements from the present owners or lessees within 90-days of the effective date of this Consent Order. If the need for access should arise after the effective date of this Consent Order, the Respondents shall use their best efforts to obtain access within 90-days of the need for access arising. Such agreements shall provide reasonable access for EPA, its contractors and oversight officials, the state and its contractors, and Respondents or their authorized representatives. In the event that Respondents are not able to obtain site access to property owned or controlled by persons or entities other than Respondents, Respondents shall notify EPA promptly regarding both the lack of, and efforts made to obtain, such access.

Provided Respondents demonstrate that they used their best efforts to attempt to negotiate access agreements, EPA will make reasonable efforts to obtain access to the Site or off-site property or properties. Best efforts by Respondents shall include payment of reasonable consideration. EPA may obtain access for the Respondents, perform those tasks

 or activities with EPA contractors, or terminate the Consent Order in the event that Respondents cannot obtain access agreements.

In the event that EPA performs those tasks or activities with EPA contractors and does not terminate the Consent Order, Respondents shall perform all other activities not requiring access to that site, and shall reimburse EPA for all costs not inconsistent with the NCP incurred in performing such activities. Respondents additionally shall integrate the results of any such tasks undertaken by EPA into its reports and deliverables. Furthermore, the Respondents agree to indemnify the U.S. Government as specified under this Consent Order. Respondents also shall reimburse EPA for all costs and attorney fees incurred by the United States not inconsistent with the NCP to obtain access for the Respondents.

- B. Respondents agree that no conveyance of title, easement, or other interest in the property comprising the Site shall be consummated without a provision permitting the continuous implementation of the provisions of this Consent Order.
- C. Respondents shall permit EPA, or its authorized representatives, to have reasonable access at all times to the Site to monitor any activity conducted pursuant to the Statement of Work or conduct such tests or investigations as EPA deems necessary. Nothing in this Consent Order shall be deemed a limit upon EPA's authority under CERCLA or other applicable federal law to gain access to the Site. EPA will endeavor to provide reasonable prior notice for all routine site inspections. Respondents may accompany any EPA employee or representative at the Site during routine inspections.

XVI. SAMPLING, ACCESS, AND DATA/DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

- A. Respondents shall provide EPA with all results of sampling, testing, modeling, or other data generated by Respondents, or on Respondents behalf, in implementing this Consent Order, and all other analytical data regarding hazardous substance contamination at, or released from, the Plant RI/FS study area, as provided for in or pursuant to § 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e), including:
- 1. The results and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) documentation of all sampling and/or tests or other technical data generated by Respondents or on

Respondents' behalf with regard to soil, groundwater, surface water, or air contamination by hazardous substances, pollutants, or contamination at the RI/FS Study area.

- 2. Previous studies or reports in the Respondents' possession;
- 3. Communications between Respondents and local, state or other federal authorities;
- 4. Permits from local, state or federal authorities regarding hazardous substance use or contamination at the RI/FS Study Area.
- B. At the request of EPA, Respondents shall provide split or duplicate samples to EPA and/or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Respondents as part of the RI/FS Work Plan. Respondents shall notify EPA of any planned sample collection activity in the preceding quarterly report. EPA will make available to the Respondents validated data generated, or collected, by EPA unless it is exempt from disclosure by any federal or state law or regulation.
- C. Respondents shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures described in the "EPA NEIC Policies and Procedures Manual," May 1978, revised November 1984, EPA-330/9-7B-001-R and "Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans," December 1980, QAMS-005/80, and company EPA updates or revisions to these guidelines, while conducting all sample collection and analysis activities required by the Consent Order. Respondents shall consult with EPA in planning for, and prior to, all sampling and analysis as detailed in the RI/FS Statement of Work. To provide quality assurance and maintain quality control, Respondents shall:
- 1. Use a laboratory which has a documented Quality Assurance Program that complies with EPA guidance document QAMS-005/80.
- 2. Ensure that EPA personnel and/or EPA authorized representatives are allowed access to the laboratory and personnel utilized by Respondents for analysis.

- 3. Ensure that the laboratory used by Respondents for analyses uses methods deemed satisfactory to EPA and submits all protocols to be used for analyses to EPA at least 10 days before beginning analyses.
- D. Respondents shall permit EPA and its authorized representative to have reasonable access at all times to the Site to monitor any activity conducted pursuant to the Statement of Work or conduct such tests or investigations as EPA deems necessary.
- E. Respondents shall permit EPA and/or its authorized representative to inspect and copy all records, documents, and other writings, including all sampling and monitoring data, that in any way concern soil, groundwater, surface water or air contamination at the Site. Nothing in this Consent Order shall be interpreted as limiting EPA's inspection authority under federal law.
- F. Respondents may assert a confidentiality claim, covering part or all of the information requested by this Consent Order pursuant to 40 CFR 2.203(b). Analytical data and data covered by Section 104(e)(7)(F) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7)(F)) shall not be claimed as confidential by Respondents and shall be provided to EPA by Respondents. Information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 CFR Part 2, Subpart B. If no such claim accompanies the information when it is submitted to EPA, it may be made available to the public by EPA without further notice to Respondents.
- G. All data, factual information, and documents submitted by Respondents to EPA pursuant to this Consent Order shall be subject to public inspection.
- H. Nothing herein shall be interpreted as limiting or affecting the Respondent's right to preserve the confidentiality of attorney work product or attorney/client communication.

XVII. <u>DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS</u>

Documents including reports, approvals, disapprovals, and other correspondence which must be submitted under this Consent Order, shall be sent by certified mail, return

receipt requested, to the following addressees or to any other addressees which the 1 2 Respondents, EPA and DTSC designate in writing: 3 (a) Documents to be submitted to EPA should be sent to [2 copies or more if 4 requested]: 5 Tom Dunkelman, EPA Project Coordinator, (H-7-1) U.S. EPA, Region 9 75 Hawthorne St. 6 San Francisco, CA 94105 7 One copy of all documents submitted to EPA should also be sent to: 8 Alice Gimeno, Project Coordinator 9 California Department of Toxic Substances Control 245 West Broadway, Suite 350 Long Beach, CA 90802 10 11 (b) One copy of each document to be submitted to the Respondents should be 12 sent to each of the following: W.J. Duchie 13 Shell Oil Company 14 511 N. Brookhurst St. Anaheim, CA 92803 15 Bill Witt 16 **CERCLA Operations** Dow Chemical Company 2030 Willard H. Dow Center 17 Midland, MI 48674 18 John Dudley 19 Dames & Moore 175 Cremona Drive, Suite A 20 Goleta, CA 93117 21 Mr. Dunkelman shall be the designated EPA Project Coordinator, while Mr. W.J. 22 Duchie shall be the designated Project Coordinator for the Respondents. Mr. Dunkelman's 23 phone number is (415) 744-2395, and Mr. W.J. Duchie's phone number is (714) 520-3462. 24 Each Project Coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this 25 Consent Order. To the maximum extent possible, communications between the Respon-26 dents and EPA shall be directed to the Project Coordinator by mail, with copies to such other persons as EPA, the State, and Respondents may respectively designate. Communica-27

tions include, but are not limited to, all documents, reports, approvals, and other correspon-

dence submitted under this Consent Order. EPA, DTSC, and the Respondents each have the right to change their respective Project Coordinator. The other parties must be notified in writing at least 10 days prior to the change.

EPA's Project Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager (RPM) and On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) by the NCP. In addition, EPA's Project Coordinator shall have the authority consistent with the National Contingency Plan, to halt any work required by this Consent Order, and to take any necessary response action when he or she determines that conditions at the site may present an immediate endangerment to public health or welfare or the environment. The absence of the EPA Project Coordinator from the area under study pursuant to this Consent Order shall not be cause for the stoppage or delay of work.

EPA shall arrange for a qualified person to assist in its oversight and review of the conduct of the RI/FS and Focused FS, as required by Section 104(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9604(a). The oversight assistant may observe work and make inquiries in the absence of EPA, but is not authorized to modify the work plan.

XVIII. OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS

Respondents shall undertake all actions required by this Consent Order in accordance with the requirements of all applicable local, state, and federal laws and regulations unless an exemption from such requirements is specifically provided in this Consent Order.

XIX. <u>RECORD PRESERVATION</u>

All records and documents in EPA's and Respondent's possession generated in performance of this Consent Order shall be preserved during the conduct of this Consent Order and for a minimum of 6 years after commencement of construction of any remedial action. The Respondents shall acquire and retain one copy of all documents generated in performance of this Consent Order and which are in the possession of its employees, agents, accountants, contractors, or attorneys. After this 6 year period, the Respondents shall notify EPA at least 90 days before the documents are scheduled to be destroyed. If EPA requests

that the documents be saved, the Respondents shall, at no cost to EPA, provide EPA with documents or copies of the documents. Respondents may assert a confidentiality claim, covering part or all of the documents requested by EPA pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §2.203(b)

Nothing herein shall be interpreted as limiting or effecting Respondents' right to preserve the confidentiality of attorney work product or attorney client communication.

XX. <u>DISPUTE RESOLUTION</u>

Unless otherwise prohibited, any disputes concerning activities or deliverables required under this Consent Order shall be resolved as follows: Any party may invoke this Dispute Resolution. All Parties to this Consent Order shall make reasonable efforts to informally resolve disputes at the Project Coordinator level. If resolution cannot be achieved informally, the procedures of this section shall be implemented to resolve the dispute.

- A. Any Project Coordinator who determines that a dispute cannot be resolved informally shall provide a written notice to the other Project Coordinator(s) which sets forth:
 - 1) his/her understanding as to the nature of the dispute;
 - 2) his/her understanding as to the position of the other Project Coordinator(s) with regard to the dispute;
 - 3) his/her position with regard to the dispute;
 - 4) the basis for concluding that his/her position on the dispute should be followed rather than the positions of the other Project Coordinator(s).
- B. Within 7 days of receipt of any notice of dispute, the other Project Coordinator(s) shall advise each other in writing as to whether they agree or disagree with the specific statements provided in the notice to them pursuant to this section. Any Project Coordinator who disputes a specific statement shall clearly set forth:
 - 1) the basis for disputing such statement;
 - 2) his/her position with regard to the disputed statement;

- 3) the basis for concluding that his/her position on the dispute should be followed rather than the position of the other Project Coordinator(s).
- C. If, within 7 days from the date of the submission of the reply/replies to the notice of dispute, the parties have not reconciled all issues raised in such notice, EPA shall present a written notice to the Del Amo Technical Work Group (TWG) setting forth all differences of opinion regarding the remaining issues of disagreement.
- D. The Del Amo TWG will serve as a forum for resolution of dispute(s) for which agreement has not been reached through the dispute resolution process as set forth in Subsection A, B and C. The Parties shall each designate one individual, other than a Project Coordinator, to serve on the TWG. The purpose of the TWG is to foster discussions on matters that may arise during the conduct of the Work and resolve differences of opinion between the parties to this Consent Order. Following elevation of a dispute to the TWG, the TWG shall have 10 days to unanimously resolve the dispute and issue a written decision which will be final and binding on all Parties.
- E. If the TWG is unable to unanimously resolve the dispute within this 10 day period, a written statement of dispute shall be prepared by the TWG which reflects all sides to the dispute. This written statement shall be forwarded to the Deputy Director for Superfund Programs for resolution within 7 days after the close of the 10 day TWG resolution period. The Deputy Director for Superfund Programs shall issue a written statement of his/her decision to Respondents.
- F. Respondents shall then implement EPA's decision. The pendency of any dispute under this Section shall not affect any Party's responsibility for timely performance of the work required by this Consent Order. Use of the dispute resolution provision will not relieve Respondents' duty to complete unrelated

tasks in a timely manner in accordance with the applicable timetable, deadline or schedule.

- G. Resolution of a dispute pursuant to this Section of the Consent Order constitutes a final resolution of any dispute arising under this Consent Order. All Parties shall abide by all terms and conditions of any final resolution of dispute obtained pursuant to this Section of this Consent Order. Parties shall implement the final decision accordingly. This dispute resolution provision or EPA's decision pursuant to this provision does not grant or imply jurisdiction to any court to review EPA's decisions pursuant to this Consent Order. All written notices and decisions generated pursuant to this Section of the Consent Order shall be part of the Administrative Record.
- H. The invocation of dispute resolution does not stay stipulated penalties under this Consent Order.

XXI. STIPULATED PENALTIES

- A. Except with respect to any extensions allowed by EPA in writing, or excused by the provisions of the Force Majeure Section of this Consent Order, Respondents shall be liable for stipulated penalties in accordance with Section XXI for each day that the Respondents fail to comply with the requirements of paragraphs C, D, and E of this Section.
- B. Penalties shall accrue commencing upon the earliest of the following occurrences: Respondents' receipt of the written determination of disapproval, as specified in the Work To Be Performed Section of this Consent Order; the failure of Respondents to meet the schedule specified or modified by EPA in the SOW; unauthorized activity at the Site; failure to achieve any other requirement under this Consent Order; or Respondents' receipt of written notice from EPA that a violation of this Consent Order has occurred. The dollar amount specified for penalties are not subject to Dispute Resolution (Section XX). In the event Respondents invoke Dispute Resolution, Dispute Resolution shall not stay the accrual of these stipulated penalties. Penalties shall accrue from the date on which a violation of this Consent Order occurs and shall continue to accrue through the final day

of the noncompliance. Stipulated penalties shall be assessed in accordance with the schedules set forth below.

- C. For purposes of this Consent Order only, Class I Noncompliance with the terms of this Consent Order shall be defined as the failure to submit to EPA Quarterly Progress Reports on-time or otherwise in compliance with the terms of the Consent Order.
- D. For purposes of this Consent Order only, Class II Noncompliance, Class III Noncompliance, and Class IV Noncompliance with the terms of this Consent Order shall be defined as the failure to submit to EPA, on-time or otherwise in compliance with the terms of the Consent Order, those deliverables identified as Class II, Class III, and Class IV deliverables in the schedule (Attachment B) attached to the Statement of Work (Attachment A).
- E. For purposes of this Consent Order, Class III noncompliance with the terms of this Consent Order shall also be defined as the failure to perform work agreed to in the RI/FS Statement Of Work, or additional work required pursuant to Section XI (Modification Of Statement of Work);

Amount of Stipulated Penalties by Class

F. Stipulated penalties shall be calculated according to the schedules below:

Class I Noncompliance Penalty Schedule

Period of Failure to Comply	Penalty per day per event
1st day and beyond	\$1,000

Class II Noncompliance Penalty Schedule

Period of Failure to Comply	Penalty per day per event
1st through 7th day	\$1,000
8th day and beyond	\$2,500

Class III Noncompliance Penalty Schedule

Period of Failure to Comply	Penalty per day per event
1st through 7th day	\$2,500
8th day and beyond	\$5,000

Class IV Noncompliance Penalty Schedule

Period of Failure to Comply	Penalty per day per event
1st through 7th day	\$5,000
8th day and beyond	\$10,000

G. Respondents' payment of stipulated penalties shall be due within 20 days following demand by the Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9, by certified check made payable to the United States Treasury and addressed to:

U.S. EPA Region 9, Attn: Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251

Respondents shall send a cover letter with any check and the letter shall identify the Site by name and make reference to this Consent Order. Respondent shall send simultaneously to the EPA Project Coordinator a notification of any penalty paid, including a photocopy of the check.

- H. The stipulated penalties provisions do not preclude EPA from pursuing any other remedies or sanctions which are available to EPA because of Respondents' failure to comply with this Consent Order. EPA will not seek statutory penalties until it notifies Respondents of its decision to pursue statutory penalties or other remedies or sanctions.
- I. Respondents are jointly and severally liable for the payment of stipulated penalties accruing under this Consent Order.

25

26

27

28

XXII. FORCE MAJEURE

- If an event occurs which causes delay in the achievement of the requirements A. of this Consent Order, Respondents shall have the burden of proving that the delay was caused by circumstances beyond the control of Respondents, their contractors, and agents and that those circumstances cannot be overcome by their due diligence. Economic hardship, normal inclement weather, and increased costs of performance shall not be considered events beyond the control of Respondents, their contractors, and agents and shall not trigger the force majeure clause. In the event of a force majeure, the time for performance of the activity delayed by the force majeure shall be extended for the time period of the delay attributable to the force majeure. The time for performance of any activity dependent on the delayed activity may be similarly extended, except to the extent that the dependent activity can be implemented in a shorter time. EPA shall determine whether subsequent requirements are to be delayed and the time period granted for any delay. Respondents shall adopt all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize any delay caused by a force majeure.
- B. When an event occurs or has occurred that may delay or prevent the performance of any obligation under this Consent Order which Respondents believe is due to force majeure, Respondents shall notify by telephone the EPA Project Coordinator, or, in his/her absence, the Director of the Hazardous Waste Management Division of EPA, Region 9, within 48 hours after the Respondents discovered or should have discovered the force majeure event. Oral notification shall be followed by written notification, made within seven days of when Respondents knew or should have known of the event causing the delay or anticipated delay.

The written notification shall fully describe: the reasons for the delay; the reasons the delay is beyond the control of Respondents, their contractors, and agents; the anticipated duration of the delay; actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to mitigate the effect of the delay; and any aspects of the event which may cause or contribute to an endangerment to public health, welfare, or the environment.

- C. Failure of Respondents to comply with the force major notice requirements will be deemed an automatic forfeiture of their right to request a delay.
- D. If EPA and Respondents cannot agree that any delay in compliance with the requirements of this Consent Order has been or will be caused by the circumstances beyond the control of Respondents, their contractors, and agents, or on the duration of any delay necessitated by a force majeure event, the dispute shall be resolved according to the dispute resolution provisions of this Consent Order. Respondents shall have the burden of proving that the delay was caused by circumstances beyond the control of Respondents, their contractors, and agents; that reasonable measures were taken to avoid or minimize delay; and the necessity of the duration of the delay.

XXIII. REIMBURSEMENT OF PAST COSTS

A. United States' Past Response Costs

Within 45 days of the effective date of this Consent Order, Respondents shall remit a certified or cashiers check to EPA in the amount of \$450,000 together with interest that has accrued thereon at the rate of interest specified for the Hazardous Substances Superfund under CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. §9607(a), for reimbursement toward past response costs incurred by EPA in responding to the conditions at the Del Amo Plant Site. Because of certain limitations in EPA's cost documentation system, all of EPA's past costs may not be represented in the above dollar figure. EPA reserves the right to provide documentation for, and request payment of, such costs at a later date. Costs incurred after October 31, 1991 will be included under this Consent Order as future response costs.

Checks should be made payable to the Hazardous Substances Superfund and should include the name of the site, the site identification number, and the title of this Consent Order. Checks should be forwarded to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9, Attn: Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251

A copy of the transmittal letter and a copy of the check shall be sent simultaneously to the EPA Project Coordinator.

EPA will provide Respondents with a copy of the EPA Cost Documentation Management System (CDMS) documentation (or its equivalent) that provides an accounting of its costs expended for the Site up to and including October 31, 1991 and will include indirect and interest cost calculations for this period. The Respondents reserve the right to pay EPA's past costs subject to a review of EPA's cost documentation and resort to dispute resolution to resolve disputed costs if any.

B. State Past Response Costs

Within 45 days of the effective date of this Consent Order, Respondents shall remit a certified or cashier's check to DTSC in the amount of \$445,051.00 for past response costs and interest that have been incurred by DTSC and the California Hazardous Substances Account in overseeing Respondents' work and in responding to conditions at the Del Amo Plant site. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section XXV, this amount includes the sum total of the obligations of the Respondents for past costs incurred by DTSC with respect to the Site through December 31, 1991. Costs incurred after that date will be included under this Consent Order as future response costs.

DTSC reserves the right to seek recovery of the remaining unpaid portion of its past response costs and interest from potentially responsible parties other than the Respondents.

Checks should be made payable to the State Department of Toxic Substances Control, and should include the name of the site, the site identification number, the

operable unit, if any, and the title of this Consent Order. Respondents shall forward the checks to:

California Department of Toxics Substances Control Attn: Accounting/Cost Recovery - Jeanne Derdowski P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, CA 95812-0806

A copy of the transmittal letter and a copy of the check shall be sent simultaneously to the DTSC Project Coordinator and to Dennis A. Ragen, Deputy Attorney General, 110 West A Street, Suite 700, San Diego, CA 92101. The Respondents reserve the right to pay the portion of DTSC's past costs incurred during the period from September 30, 1991 through December 31, 1991 subject to a later review of DTSC's cost documentation for such period, and to resort to dispute resolution to resolve any disputes involving such portion of DTSC's costs.

XXIV. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE AND OVERSIGHT COSTS

A. United States' Response And Oversight Costs

Following the issuance of this Consent Order, EPA shall submit to the Respondents, no more than annually, an accounting of all response costs including oversight costs incurred by the U.S. Government with respect to this Site after October 31, 1991. Response costs may include, but are not limited to, costs incurred by the U.S. Government not inconsistent with the NCP in overseeing Respondents' implementation of the requirements of this Consent Order and activities performed by the government as part of the RI/FS and community relations, including any costs incurred while obtaining access.

Costs shall include all direct and indirect costs, including, but not limited to, time and travel costs of EPA personnel and associated indirect costs, contractor costs, cooperative agreement costs, compliance monitoring; including the collection and analysis of split samples, inspection of RI/FS activities, site visits, discussions regarding disputes that may arise as a result of this Consent Order, review and approval or disposal of reports, costs of performing the baseline risk assessment, and costs of redoing any of Respondents' tasks as permitted pursuant to Section 107(a)(4)(a) of CERCLA. The EPA Cost Documentation

Management System (CDMS) documentation or its equivalent, shall serve as basis for payment demands.

Respondents shall, within 45 days of receipt of each accounting submitted by the EPA no more frequently than annually, remit a certified or cashier's check for the amount of those costs. Interest shall accrue from the date payment of a specified amount is demanded in writing; or the date of the expenditure which ever is later, pursuant to CERCLA §107(a)(4). The interest rate is the rate of interest on investments for the Hazardous Substances Superfund as specified in Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a).

Checks should be made payable to the Hazardous Substances Superfund and should include the name of the site, the site identification number, the account number and the title of this Consent Order. Checks should be forwarded to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9, Attn: Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251

Copies of the transmittal letter and a copy of the check should be sent simultaneously to the EPA Project Coordinator.

Respondents agree to limit any disputes concerning costs to accounting errors and the appropriateness of the expenditures pursuant to the NCP. Respondents shall identify any contested costs and the basis of their objection. All undisputed costs shall be remitted by Respondents in accordance with the schedule set forth above. Disputed costs shall be paid by Respondents into an escrow account while the dispute is pending. Respondents bear the burden of establishing the inappropriateness of any expenditure, an EPA accounting error, or the inclusion of costs outside the scope of this Consent Order. The Respondents reserve the right to pay EPA's costs subject to a review of EPA's cost documentation and resort to dispute resolution to resolve disputed costs if any.

B. State's Response And Oversight Costs

9 10

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Respondents shall reimburse the State of California Hazardous Substance Account for the response and oversight costs incurred by The State of California with respect to this Consent Order provided that such costs are not inconsistent with the NCP.

Following the issuance of this Consent Order, the State shall submit to the Respondents no more frequently than annually, an accounting of all response costs including oversight costs incurred by the State of California with respect to this Consent Order. Costs shall include all direct and indirect costs.

Respondents shall, within 45 days of receipt of each accounting, remit a certified or cashier's check for the amount of those costs. Interest shall accrue from the date payment of a specified amount is demanded in writing. The interest rate is the rate of interest on investments for the Hazardous Substances Superfund in Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a).

Checks should be made payable to the State Department of Toxic Substances Control, and shall reference the "Del Amo Superfund Site," Respondents shall forward the certified or cashiers check(s) to:

> California Department of Toxic Substances Control Attn: Accounting/Cost Recovery - Jeanne Derdowski P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, CA 95812-0806

A copy of the transmittal letter and a copy of the check shall be sent simultaneously to the State Project Coordinator and to Dennis A. Ragen, Deputy Attorney General, 110 West A Street, Suite 700, San Diego, CA 92101.

Respondents agree to limit any disputes concerning costs to accounting errors and the appropriateness of the expenditures pursuant to the NCP. Respondents shall identify any contested costs and the basis of their objection. All undisputed costs shall be remitted by Respondents in accordance with the schedule set forth above. Disputed costs shall be paid by Respondents into an escrow account while the dispute is pending. Respondents bear the burden of establishing the inappropriateness of any expenditures, a State accounting error or the inclusion of costs outside the scope of this Consent Order. The

Respondents reserve the right to pay DTSC's costs subject to a review of DTSC's cost documentation and resort to dispute resolution to resolve disputed costs if any.

XXV. RESERVATIONS OF RIGHTS AND REIMBURSEMENT OF OTHER COSTS

EPA reserves the right to bring an action against the Respondents under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a), for recovery of all response costs including oversight costs, incurred by the United States at the Site that are not reimbursed by the Respondents; any costs incurred in the event that EPA performs the RI/FS or any part thereof, and any future costs incurred by the United States in connection with response activities conducted under CERCLA at this site.

EPA reserves the right to bring an action against Respondents to enforce the past costs and response and oversight cost reimbursement requirements of this Consent Order, to collect stipulated penalties assessed pursuant to Section XXI of this Consent Order, and to seek penalties pursuant to Section 109 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9609, excluding those violations for which the EPA has received stipulated penalties.

DTSC reserves the right to bring an action against Respondents for the recovery of any future response costs, including oversight costs, incurred by DTSC with respect to the Del Amo Plant Site which are not reimbursed by Respondents. DTSC reserves the right to bring an action against Respondents to enforce the past costs and response and oversight cost reimbursement requirements of this Consent Order.

Except as expressly provided in this Consent Order, each party reserves all rights and defenses it may have. EPA reserves the right to take any enforcement action pursuant to CERCLA and/or any other legal authority, including the right to seek injunctive relief, monetary penalties, and punitive damages for any violation of law or this Consent Order. Nothing in this Consent Order shall affect EPA's removal authority or EPA's response or enforcement authorities including, but not limited to, the right to seek injunctive relief, stipulated penalties, statutory penalties, and/or punitive damages, excluding those violations for which the EPA has received stipulated penalties.

1 clair
2 clair
3 pers
4 action
5 The
6 Resp
7 Each
8 or p
9 litigs
10 any
11 Con-

Respondents reserve all rights they may have to oppose and defend against such claims and actions and to assert any and all claims they may have against EPA and/or any person, or government agency. Respondents reserve any rights they may have to bring any action otherwise available against any person as defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA. The execution and performance of this Consent Order is not an admission by any of the Respondents of any fact, conclusion or liability related to any issue dealt with in the Order. Each Respondent's performance under the Consent Order is undertaken without waiver of or prejudice to (1) Any claims or defenses that may be asserted in the event of future litigation about or related to the site, or (2) Any rights of contribution or indemnity against any person including other Respondents. Nor is the execution of the performance of the Consent Order an agreement by any Respondent to take any action at the site other than described in the Consent Order.

Respondents are not released from liability, if any, for any response actions beyond the scope of this Consent Order regarding removals, other operable units, remedial design/remedial action of this operable unit, or activities arising pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA.

XXVI. OTHER CLAIMS

In entering into this Consent Order, Respondents waive any right to seek reimbursement under Section 106(b) of CERCLA; 42 U.S.C §9606(b). Respondents also waive any right to present any claim under Section 111 or 112 of CERCLA. This Consent Order does not constitute any decision on preauthorization of funds under Section 111(a)(2) of CERCLA.

Nothing in this Consent Order shall constitute or be construed as a release from any claim, cause of action or demand in law or equity against any person, firm, partnership, subsidiary or corporation not a signatory to this Consent Order for any liability it may have arising out of or relating in any way to the generation, storage, treatment, handling, transportation, release, or disposal of any hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants

found at, taken to, or taken from the Site. Respondents shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

XXVII. INDEMNIFICATION

The Respondents agree to indemnify and hold the United States Government, DTSC, and their agencies, departments, agents, and employees harmless from acts or omissions or causes of action arising from or caused by the negligence or wrongful conduct of Respondents, their employees, agents, servants, receivers, successors, or assignees, in carrying out activities under this Consent Order. Neither EPA nor DTSC is a party in any contract involving the Respondents at the Site.

XXVIII. EFFECTIVE DATE AND SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATION

The effective date of this Consent Order shall be the date it is signed by EPA.

This Consent Order may be amended by mutual agreement of EPA, DTSC and Respondents. Amendments shall be in writing and shall be effective when signed by EPA. EPA Project Coordinators do not have the authority to sign amendments to the Consent Order.

No informal advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by EPA regarding reports, plans, specifications, schedules, and any other writing submitted by the Respondents will be construed as relieving the Respondents of their obligation to obtain such formal approval as may be required by this Consent Order. Any deliverables, plans, technical memoranda, reports (other than progress reports), specifications, schedules and attachments required by this Consent Order are, upon approval by EPA, incorporated into this Consent Order.

XXIX. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

With regard to claims for contribution against Respondents for matters addressed in this Consent Order, parties hereto agree that the Respondents are entitled to such protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. §9613(f)(2). The Respondents, however, expressly disclaim the contribution protection of CERCLA §113(f)(2) as between each of the Respondents, but not as to non-settling parties.

1 |

2 3 4

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

XXX. TERMINATION AND SATISFACTION

The provisions of the Consent Order shall be deemed satisfied upon Respondents' receipt of written notice from EPA that Respondents have demonstrated, to the satisfaction of EPA, that all of the terms of this Consent Order, including any additional tasks which EPA has determined to be necessary, have been completed. Respondents may petition the EPA in writing for written determination. If the EPA does not respond to Respondents' request within forty-five (45) days after receipt, Respondents may invoke Section XX.

XXXI. <u>DISCLAIMER</u>

A. By entering into this Consent Order, or by taking any action in accordance with it, the Respondents do not admit any of the findings of fact, conclusions of law, determinations or any of the allegations contained in this Consent Order, nor do Respondents admit liability for any purpose or admit any issues of law or fact or any responsibility for the alleged release or threat of release of any hazardous substance into the environment. The participation of any Respondent in this Consent Order shall not be admissible against Respondents in any judicial or administrative proceeding, except for an action by EPA to enforce the terms of this Consent Order, or, actions to which EPA is a party, which allege injury based, in whole or part, on acts or admissions of Respondents in connection with performance under this Consent Order. However, the terms of this Consent Order and the participation of Respondents shall be admissible in any action brought by any Respondent to enforce any contractual obligation imposed by any agreement among them.

B. By signing and consenting to this Consent Order, or by taking any actions pursuant to this Consent Order, Respondents do not concede that the RI/FS, Focused FS, or any other investigation at the Site is necessary to protect the public health or welfare or the environment. Respondents have agreed to this Consent Order to provide assistance to EPA and the California Department of Toxic Substances and to avoid unnecessary conflict or litigation.

1	!	XXXII <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>	
2	This Consent Order may be executed and delivered in any number of counterparts		
3	each of which, when executed and delivered, shall be deemed to be an original, but such		
4	count	erparts shall together constitute one and the same document.	
5	į	IT IS SO AGREED AND ORDERED:	
6		UNITED STATES	
7		ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
8	By:	Date: 5-7-92	
9	Dy.	Jety/Zelikson, Director Hazardous Waste Management Division	
10		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9	
11		CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL	
12		CALII ORNIA DEI ARTIMENT OF TOME SUBSTANCES CONTROL	
13	Ву:	William F. Soo Hoo, Director	
14		California Department of Toxic Substances Control	
15		RESPONDENTS	
16		REGIONALIA	
17	Ву:	William J. Duchie Date:	
18		Manager-Environmental Remediation West Coast, Corporate Environmental Affairs	
19		Shell Oil Company	
20	Ву:	J.M. Martin	
21		Director of Environmental Affairs Dow Chemical, USA	
22		Dow Chemical, Co.1	
23	ı		
24			
25			
26			
27			

1		XXXII COUNTERPARTS		
2		This Consent Order may be executed and delivered in any number of counterparts,		
3	each	each of which, when executed and delivered, shall be deemed to be an original, but such		
4	COUL	counterparts shall together constitute one and the same document.		
5		IT IS SO AGREED AND ORDERED:		
6		UNITED STATES		
7		ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY		
8	Ву:	Date:		
9]	Jeff Zelikson, Director Hazardous Waste Management Division		
10		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9		
11		CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL		
12				
13	Ву:	William F. Soo Hoo, Director Date: 5/6/92		
14		California Department of Toxic Substances Control		
15		RESPONDENTS		
16				
17	Ву:	William J. Duchie Date:		
18		Manager-Environmental Remediation West Coast, Corporate Environmental Affairs		
19		Shell Oil Company		
20	Ву:	J.M. Martin		
Director of Environmental Affairs Dow Chemical, USA	Director of Environmental Affairs Dow Chemical, USA			
22		·		
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

TO:SD ATT'Y GENERAL

1	XXXII <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>		
2	This Consent Order may be executed and delivered in any number of counterparts,		
3	each of which, when executed and delivered, shall be deemed to be an original, but sucl		
4	counterparts shall together constitute one and the same document.		
5	IT IS SO AGREED AND ORDERED:		
6	UNITED STATES		
7	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY		
8	By: Date:		
9	Jeff Zelikson, Director		
10	Hazardous Waste Management Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9		
11	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL		
12	CALIFORNIA DEFARTMENT OF TOAIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL		
13	By: Date: William F. Soo Hoo, Director		
14	California Department of Toxic Substances Control		
15	RESPONDENTS		
16	// A /		
17	By: William Date: 4/27/92		
18	Manager-Environmental Remediation West Coast, Corporate Environmental Affairs		
19	Shell Oil Company		
20	By: Date:		
21	Director of Environmental Affairs Dow Chemical, USA		
22			
23	•		
24			
25			
26	• 		
27			
28			

1		XXXII <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>	
2	7	This Consent Order may be executed and delivered in any number of counterpart	
3	each of which, when executed and delivered, shall be deemed to be an original, but se		
4	counterparts shall together constitute one and the same document.		
5	. 1	T IS SO AGREED AND ORDERED:	
6		TETETETINE OF A TOTAL	
7		UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
8	73	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9	By:	eff Zelikson, Director	
10	į	Hazardous Waste Management Division J.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9	
11		TAT TRADUTA INDIA NOTE OFFICE AT TANK OF THOSE AT THOSE AND CONTROLS	
12		CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL	
13	Ву:	Date:	
14		William F. Soo Hoo, Director California Department of Toxic Substances Control	
15			
16	•	RESPONDENTS	
17	Ву:	Date:	
18	I	William J. Duchie Manager-Environmental Remediation	
19	S	West Coast, Corporate Environmental Affairs Shell Oil Company	
20	Ву:	1 B. Martin Date: May 6, 1992	
21		MisMartin Director of Environmental Affairs	
22		Dow Chemical, USA	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	·		
28			